

BUILDING A TRANSCONTINENTAL AFFILIATION: A NEW MODEL FOR ACADEMIC HEALTH CENTERS

H. Dirk Sostman, David P. Hajjar and Antonio M. Gotto, Jr.

From Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, New York, and Methodist DeBakey Heart Center, Houston, Texas

INTRODUCTION

The affiliation between The Methodist Hospital, Weill Medical College of Cornell University and New York-Presbyterian Hospital is, to our knowledge, the first transcontinental affiliation between major nonprofit academic health centers (AHCs) in the United States. The rationale for the affiliation was clear to those involved in the discussions. The institutions share the same mission of fostering progress in clinical care, education and research; and because of their geographic separation, Methodist, Weill Cornell and New York-Presbyterian do not compete with each other in providing clinical care to their regional populations. While academic "competition" and potential competition for international referrals do exist, it was judged that these potential areas of competition could easily transform into collaborations. Most importantly, this situation offered an opportunity to explore new models for AHCs, which many feel must change to better meet society's needs.¹ Also, it seemed that this could have importance beyond the collective interests of the three institutions. A spirit of optimism and possibility, respect and trust between the key individuals, the support of senior board leadership, and recognition of the need to conclude the negotiations promptly served as the key enablers of agreement.

The affiliation agreement's straightforward basic terms facilitate collaboration in patient care, teaching, research and operational performance. The affiliation is primary and reciprocally exclusive in New York and Texas (e.g., Methodist is Weill Cornell's only affiliate in Texas, and Weill Cornell is both The Methodist Hospital's primary academic affiliate and its only affiliate in New York, but both may have other affiliations). Members of Methodist's medical staff are eligible for faculty appointments at Weill Cornell, but appointments are neither automatic nor required. The primary academic appointment for chairs will be at Weill Cornell. Mutual efforts to improve clinical care include coordination between department chairs and sharing best practices, quality methods and clinical databases. The affiliates intend to collaborate extensively in education - including graduate medical education (GME), medical student education and research training at the graduate and postgraduate levels - and foster joint research, particularly clinical and translational research. Finally, administrative staff explore opportunities for sharing processes and progress in operational performance.

PROGRESS TO DATE

Progress during the initial nine months has confirmed and reinforced our decision to enter into this innovative affiliation. Methodist has successfully secured its clinical and financial base, started to rebuild training programs, established a research infrastructure, implemented The Methodist Hospital Research Institute and recruited new department chairs, most recently Dr. Barbara Bass as chair of surgery.

In addition, Methodist and Weill Cornell have started collaborating on a number of activities. Faculty from both sites authored an academic paper regarding the innovative architecture and potential of the relationship. Large-scale site

visits to each institution have been held on a number of occasions. A highly successful joint research symposium was conducted in Houston, as was the first of a series of collaborative leadership forums regarding clinical quality improvement. A joint pilot grants program has been launched and research collaborations have begun. Many Methodist physicians have applied for and received Weill Cornell faculty appointments, and many more are anticipated.

Our academic departments are beginning to collaborate in GME programs with the strong support of New York-Presbyterian, and resident rotations are planned in both directions. Methodist has decided to establish a Department of Public

Health jointly with Weill Cornell. Several specific areas for collaboration were identified, including standardization of metrics, electronic medical record data mining and reporting, data warehouse development, standardization of patient satisfaction tools, exchanging specific quality protocols and establishing cross-institutional teams for ongoing collaborations.

Most recently, Weill Cornell's executive vice dean, Dr. H. Dirk Sostman, accepted an offer to become Methodist's chief academic officer,



THE
METHODIST
HOSPITAL
WEILL CORNELL
MEDICAL COLLEGE
NEWYORK-
PRESBYTERIAN
HOSPITAL

as well as chief medical officer of The Methodist Hospital System while remaining a Weill Cornell executive vice dean. In these capacities, he brings the affiliates together on all academic fronts.

OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES

In the short term, Weill Cornell and New York-Presbyterian needed to assist Methodist in amending lost functions and programs - such as GME and faculty appointments - that had been furnished by its former primary medical school affiliate. As this phase nears completion, the three institutions are exploring opportunities in education, research, quality improvement and international program development.

The relationship between institutions represents a new kind of collaboration, a step forward in the globalization of health care. A simplifying factor is that the three parties do not compete for a single geographic patient base; however, all are active in international outreach, including international referrals, education and development. Further, their primary global catchment areas have little existing overlap. While potential competition has always existed for international ventures, the affiliation opens the opportunity for developing a joint presence in the international market. Developing further affiliations could enhance this model with the aim of creating the first global non-profit health care enterprise.

One clear opportunity is to develop clinical trials, investigator-initiated, patient-oriented research and health services research on a national scale. Large and diverse patient populations have become essential in all types of clinical research, as emphasized in the National Institutes of Health Roadmap.² The collaborative fac-

ulty, common information systems and shared Department of Public Health should help us rapidly develop this area.

Educational opportunities include medical students, GME, continuing medical education and scientific training (graduate students and postdoctoral trainees). Elective opportunities for entry-level trainees will be an attractive part of educational programs, and upper-level trainees such as clinical fellows could benefit significantly from direct exposure to the broader range of faculty and patients. Distance learning and electronic media are increasingly used in medical education and could provide obvious benefits to both sites.³ Weill Cornell's campus in Qatar has given it considerable experience with distance learning, and the three affiliates have accelerated their investments in this infrastructure to foster its creative use in education.

The potential role of shared or joint clinical programs, including international projects, is being discussed. Quality improvement efforts are high priorities clinically, operationally and academically in both New York and Houston.⁵ Benchmarking, best practice sharing and integrated quality research are already under way. Although collaboration and familiarity enable appropriate referrals, clinical medicine remains in most instances a personal and geographically localized service. Thus, the development of joint clinical programs will be pursued only if physicians at both sites propose them. Futuristic programs such as virtual reality consultations and robotic telesurgery are technically feasible and may be explored at a later date. The greatest opportunity exists for quality improvement, benchmarking and sharing best practices, and we anticipate that

shared quality activities will become major efforts given the national health policy and payer focus on these topics.

There is immense opportunity for exploiting the capabilities provided by information technology (IT) since it is the key infrastructure to developing successful research and quality programs among the sites. Electronic medical records, standardized data dictionaries and mutually accessible data repositories are essential for data sharing on quality improvement and outcomes measurement, facilitating data warehouse functions for clinical research and, ultimately, for maximizing hospital operational performance. Distance-learning and conferencing technologies will enable shared educational programs. Other possibilities include sharing intellectual capital and implementation expertise; standardization of processes, rules, terminology and data collection practices; and strategic planning. In May 2005, an IT forum assembled leaders from Methodist, New York-Presbyterian and Weill Cornell to set an agenda for clinical, operational and research IT collaboration.

The principal challenge thus far has been the physical distance between the parties. Although extensive use of videoconferencing has been successful, more sophisticated technologies such as video-streamed seminars and Web-based educational archives or shared Internet workspaces have not yet been adopted. The effectiveness of electronic meetings increases with an individual's familiarity with the medium, and this medium is particularly successful for those who already have established a personal relationship. Since there is no substitute for personal contact when initially establishing relationships,

frequent interchange has been encouraged. Finally, external regulatory processes have created some discontinuities or anomalies (e.g., in residency programs) making it necessary to devise compensatory measures.

CONCLUSION

Academic medicine and AHCs face significant challenges as they enter the 21st century,⁶ and many AHCs have responded to these challenges through mergers of various types. We have established a unique transcontinental affiliation between two major AHCs. The key enablers of this affiliation were a rapid process and mutual trust based on personal relationships of executive management teams, and commitment to the project by board leadership. Any novel venture involving complex academic entities faces many potential obstacles; in this instance, most were avoided or overcome in the early phases of the project.

Those involved in the affiliation recognize that challenges will continue to evolve. One ongoing challenge that we are actively working to resolve is to maintain and enhance functional integration at a distance - a challenge that is notably unique to health care as numerous corporations with geographically dispersed units have overcome it successfully.⁷ The prior experiences of those involved with international projects, multicenter biomedical science and networks of affiliations are major advantages in dealing with this issue, and the challenges create great opportunities to design models for education, research and clinical collaborations. Realizing the potential of these opportunities will require unconstrained ideas and substantial investment of time and other critical resources.

REFERENCES

1. Institute of Medicine. *Academic Health Centers: Leading change in the 21st century*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. 2003;2.
2. NIH Roadmap {on the Internet}. Bethesda, Maryland: National Institutes of Health; ©2004-2005 {cited 2005 July 29}. Overview of the NIH Roadmap. Available from: <http://nihroadmap.nih.gov/overview.asp>.
3. Crowley SD, Owens TA, Schardt CM et al. A web-based compendium of clinical questions and medical evidence to educate internal medicine residents. *Acad Med*. 2003;78:270-4.
4. Ogrinc G, Splaine ME, Foster T, Regan-Smith M, Batafden P. Exploring and embracing complexity in a distance-learning curriculum for physicians. *Acad Med*. 2003;78:280-5.
5. The Academic Medical Center Working Group. *The imperative for quality: a call for action to medical schools and teaching hospitals*. *Acad Med*. 2003;78:1085-9.
6. Whitcomb ME. Major new challenges for academic medicine. *Acad Med*. 2003;78:1077-8.
7. Majchrzak A, Malhotra A, Stamps J, Lipnack J. Can absence make a team grow stronger? *Harvard Business Review*. 2004;131-7.

Address for Correspondence

Antonio M. Gotto, Jr., M.D., O.Phil.
Weill Medical College
of Cornell University
1300 York Ave.
New York, NY. 10021
212-746-6005
dean@med.cornell.edu