



A. Lumsden, M.D.

VENOUS CLINIC AND ARTERIOVENOUS MALFORMATION CENTER AT THE METHODIST DEBAKEY HEART & VASCULAR CENTER

Alan B. Lumsden, M.D.

Methodist DeBakey Heart & Vascular Center, Houston, Texas

We recently modified our name from the Methodist DeBakey Heart Center to the Methodist DeBakey Heart & Vascular Center, to emphasize the importance and commonality of the vascular system with heart disease. Nevertheless, although we have incorporated the phrase “vascular” into our identity, this does not adequately convey the importance of the venous component of the circulatory system. The prevalence of venous disease exceeds that of arterial disease by a ratio of 4:1 (Table 1).

Table 1. States of Venous Disease

Venous disease compromises the following disease states:
Varicose veins
Deep venous thrombosis and its sequelae
Post-phlebotic syndrome
Venous Ulcers
Venous stenoses
May Thurner Syndrome
Arteriovenous malformations
Axillo-Subclavian Vain occlusions
Thoracic Outlet Syndrome

Despite this fact, there has been a paucity of interest in venous disease from major academic centers and there is no venous clinic in the Texas Medical Center. This is most likely because it is generally non-life or limb threatening and often regarded as “cosmetic.” Until recently, venous disease has also been largely ignored by the device industry, which, as with academia, has been heavily invested in arterial disease management. It is clear, however, that it has been naïve to regard venous problems as inconsequential and fallacious to deny their impact on quality of life. Varicose veins are often very symptomatic and their treatment can revolutionize life quality for young patients. The conse-

quences of venous incompetence, namely leg swelling, lipodermatosclerosis and ulceration, can cause disabling symptoms. Negativity toward clot removal in patients with deep vein thrombosis (DVT) condemns many to lifelong limb swelling and postphlebotic syndrome.

The drivers for change in venous disease management include a better understanding of venous pathophysiology to inform rational interventional choices, the availability of new therapies, an increasing belief in the benefit of clot removal and on the horizon, the remote prospect of endovascular placement of venous valves (Table 2-3).

Table 2. Minimally Invasive vs. Surgical Venous Procedures

a) Minimally Invasive Venous Procedures
Sclerotherapy
Laser therapy for telangiectases and spider veins
Laser and RF ablation of saphenous veins
Catheter thrombectomy
Venous thrombolysis
Venous angioplasty and stenting
Perforator ablation
IVC filter placement and retrieval
Embolization Techniques
b) Surgical Venous Procedures
Surgical Thrombectomy
Deep venous reconstruction
Major venous reconstruction and bypass
First Rib resection for subclavian vein thrombosis
Saphenous stripping
Subfacial Perforator Ablation
Valve Repair
Valve Transplant

Table 3. Ancillary Procedures

Unna boot application
Stocking fitment and retail
Wound debridement
Wound Care
Skin grafting

Rationale for Methodist Venous Clinic

Although our group has a fairly large venous practice (approximately 33% of the total), patients are mixed into the general vascular population, there has been no specific venous marketing and the practice has been heavily focused on catheter-based procedures. This has been developed as a function of our interest in minimally invasive venous therapies, particularly RF ablation, of which we were early adopters. This generated a significant amount of media coverage, which served to launch the venous program. Partnering with the catheter manufacturers has also resulted in Internet and podium exposure. Our interest has not only been procedurally related, but also academic, leading to many presentations and publications. We believe that it is this combination of academic credibility — extensive experience in venous disease together with the credibility of the Methodist brand — which will allow us to further develop this program. The numerous “venous clinics” which have developed around the city are often developed as commercial, “easy buck” enterprises, often with very questionable imaging and technical skills. This “shadiness factor” is well recognized by patients, referring physicians and industry alike, and represents a major market opportunity for the Methodist venous clinic.

Mission Statement

It is our mission to provide the best in venous imaging and venous therapy in Texas.

The clinic will serve the tripartite mission of the Methodist DeBakey Heart & Vascular Center by providing excellence in both patient service and student, resident and physician education in venous disease. It will also serve as a catalyst for the development of multidisciplinary research programs, which will attract both federal and private research funds.

The clinic will focus on the application of minimally invasive therapies, based on the thorough understanding of the pathophysiology of venous disorders. Treatment will be based on scientific data where available and will collect such data when it is not available.

Optimal utilization of imaging capabilities, especially duplex scanning, is the key to understanding venous disease (Tables 4-5).

Table 4. Venous Imaging

Duplex scanning
CT Venography
MR Venography
Contrast Venography
Intravascular Ultrasound

Table 5. Quantitative Venous evaluation

Valve reflux studies
Air Plethysmography
Ambulatory Venous Pressure Monitoring

Basic Venous Anatomy and Physiology

Veins are generally referred to as the capacitance vessels, emphasizing their incredible capability to undergo spasm or dramatic vasodilatation. At any given moment, approximately 65% of a person’s blood volume is in the venous system. The pump is effective when a person is walking and the leg muscles are contracting. But when a person sits or stands, blood pressure in leg veins can build because of gravity. Deep veins and perforating veins are usually able to withstand short periods of increased pressures, but because the walls of veins are elastic, extended periods of increased pressure can stretch and weaken the walls of these veins. The presence of one-way valves, which close as the muscles relax, results in unidirectional flow back to the heart.

The lower extremity venous system is comprised of three systems of veins. The superficial and deep systems course longitudinally, while the perforating veins run horizontally between the superficial and deep systems. Perforating veins have one-way valves that ensure that blood flows from superficial veins to deep veins. The deep veins also have one-way valves, allowing blood to flow into the vena cava, the body’s largest vein, and back into the heart. When veins are blocked, or the valves leaky, the condition is called chronic venous insufficiency (CVI).

While the perception of the cause of venous insufficiency is fairly simple, the reality of the pathophysiology remains both complex and poorly defined. It necessitates determining whether this is the result of obstruction or reflux (valvular insufficiency) in each

of the three venous systems: superficial, deep and perforating veins. This can all be determined by duplex ultrasound, which is the main diagnostic tool in the understanding of chronic venous insufficiency.

The Arteriovenous Malformation Center

Over the past seven years, most likely as a result of our interest in endovascular therapies, venous and arterial disease, we have developed a significant patient cohort with arteriovenous malformations (AVMs). Arteriovenous malformations are developmental anomalies, which can affect any part of the anatomy. Their course is unpredictable. Some are static, but others are rapidly progressive, can be very disfiguring, symptomatic and not curable. Patients with AVMs frequently consult with multiple physicians in their quest for appropriate therapy. This is a disease entity which demands a multidisciplinary approach: vascular surgeons, radiologists, wound specialists, plastic surgeons and orthopedic surgeons. We have modeled the AVM center after our multidisciplinary limb salvage center. These patients need a home base of physicians interested in the long-term, multi procedure involvement frequently necessary for their optimal care. Our approach to the management of arteriovenous malformations is outlined in this issue's article by Drs. Mark Davies and Jean Bismuth (pages 41-45).

Total Endovascular Series: Venous Intervention Symposium

We recently conducted a 3-day symposium in Houston which focused on emerging technologies for venous disease diagnosis and intervention. Participants included most of the world's leading national and international experts on venous disease. As a result of this convocation we have compiled a textbook to be published later this year entitled *Venous Diseases: Contemporary Clinical Management*.

References

1. de León RA, Chang DC, Hassoun HT, Black JH, Roseborough GS, Perler BA, Rotellini-Coltvet L, Call D, Busse C, Freischlag JA. Multiple treatment algorithms for successful outcomes in venous thoracic outlet syndrome. *Surgery*. 2009 May;145(5):500-07.
2. Yezhelyev M, Osgood M, Egnatashvili V, Lumsden A, Staley CA, Kooby DA. Saphenous vein graft conduits for insertion of hepatic arterial infusion pumps in patients with abnormal hepatic arterial anatomy. *J Surg Oncol*. 2008 Jan 1;97(1):85-89.
3. Anaya-Ayala JE, Charlton-Ouw KM, Cardon AL, Peden EK. Peripheral venous hypertension of the hand: a complication of a proximal radial artery arteriovenous fistula. *J Vasc Access*. 2008 Jan-Mar;9(1):64-66.
4. Rawat A, Huynh TT, Peden EK, Koungias P, Lin PH. Primary prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism in surgical patients. *Vasc Endovascular Surg*. 2008 Jun-Jul;42(3):205-16.
5. Hedayati N, Riha GM, Koungias P, Huynh TT, Cheng C, Bechara C, Bismuth J, Dardik A, Lin PH. Prognostic factors and treatment outcome in mesenteric vein thrombosis. *Vasc Endovascular Surg*. 2008 Jun-Jul;42(3):217-24.
6. Guerrero MA, Cross CA, Lin PH, Keane TE, Lumsden AB. Inferior vena cava reconstruction using fresh inferior vena cava allograft following caval resection for leiomyosarcoma: midterm results. *J Vasc Surg*. 2007 Jul;46(1):140-43.
7. Mussa FF, Peden EK, Zhou W, Lin PH, Lumsden AB, Bush RL. Iliac vein stenting for chronic venous insufficiency. *Tex Heart Inst J*. 2007;34(1):60-66. Review.
8. Peden E, Lumsden A. Radiofrequency ablation of incompetent perforator veins. *Perspect Vasc Surg Endovasc Ther*. 2007 Mar;19(1):73-77.
9. Doyle A, Wolford HY, Davies MG, Adams JT, Singh MJ, Saad WE, Waldman DL, Dewese JA, Illig KA. Management of effort thrombosis of the subclavian vein: today's treatment. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2007 Nov;21(6):723-29.
10. Zhou W, Choi L, Lin PH, Dardik A, Eraso A, Lumsden AB. Percutaneous transhepatic thrombectomy and pharmacologic thrombolysis of mesenteric venous thrombosis. *Vascular*. 2007 Jan-Feb;15(1):41-45.
11. Bakken AM, Protack CD, Saad WE, Lee DE, Waldman DL, Davies MG. Long-term outcomes of primary angioplasty and primary stenting of central venous stenosis in hemodialysis patients. *J Vasc Surg*. 2007 Apr;45(4):776-83.
12. Koungias P, Peden EK, Lin P, Buergler J, Lumsden AB. Endovascular occlusion of right to left arteriovenous shunt associated with persistent left superior vena cava. *J Vasc Surg*. 2006 Oct;44(4):875-78.
13. Lin PH, Zhou W, Dardik A, Mussa F, Koungias P, Hedayati N, Naoum JJ, El Sayed H, Peden EK, Huynh TT. Catheter-direct thrombolysis versus pharmacomechanical thrombectomy for treatment of symptomatic lower

- extremity deep venous thrombosis. *Am J Surg*. 2006 Dec;192(6):782-88.
14. Saad WE, Davies MG, Rubens DJ, Sahler LG, Patel NC, Lee DE, Kitanosono T, Sasson T, Waldman DL. Endoluminal management of arterioportal fistulae in liver transplant recipients: a single-center experience. *Vasc Endovascular Surg*. 2006 Dec-2007 Jan;40(6):451-59.
 15. Saad WE, Saad NE, Davies MG, Bozorgdadeh A, Orloff MS, Patel NC, Abt PL, Lee DE, Sahler LG, Kitanosono T, Sasson T, Waldman DL. Elective transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt creation for portal decompression in the immediate pretransplantation period in adult living related liver transplant recipient candidates: preliminary results. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2006 Jun;17(6):995-1002.
 16. Riha GM, Lin PH, Lumsden AB, Yao Q, Chen C. Review: application of stem cells for vascular tissue engineering. *Tissue Eng*. 2005 Sep-Oct;11(9-10):1535-52.
 17. Peden E, Zhou W, Bush RL, Lumsden AB, Lin PH. The case for thrombolysis for iliofemoral venous thrombosis. *Semin Vasc Surg*. 2005 Sep;18(3):139-47.
 18. Lam RC, Bush RL, Lin PH, Lumsden AB. Early technical and clinical results with retrievable inferior vena caval filters. *Vascular*. 2004 Jul-Aug;12(4):233-37.
 19. Surowiec SM, Fegley AJ, Tanski WJ, Sivamurthy N, Illig KA, Lee DE, Waldman DL, Green RM, Davies MG. Endovascular management of central venous stenoses in the hemodialysis patient: results of percutaneous therapy. *Vasc Endovascular Surg*. 2004 Jul-Aug;38(4):349-54.
 20. Zhou W, Bush RL, Lin PH, Lumsden AB. Inferior vena cava occlusion secondary to filter placement: endovascular therapy. *J Endovasc Ther*. 2004 Dec;11(6):747-48.
 21. Zhou W, Bush RL, Terramani TT, Lin PH, Lumsden AB. Treatment options of iatrogenic pelvic vein injuries: conventional operative versus endovascular approach — case reports. *Vasc Endovascular Surg*. 2004 Nov-Dec;38(6):569-73.
 22. Bush RL, Lin PH, Bates JT, Mureebe L, Zhou W, Lumsden AB. Pharmacomechanical thrombectomy for treatment of symptomatic lower extremity deep venous thrombosis: safety and feasibility study. *J Vasc Surg*. 2004 Nov;40(5):965-70.
 23. Zhou W, Bush RL, Lin PH, Lumsden AB. Radiation-associated venous stenosis: endovascular treatment options. *J Vasc Surg*. 2004 Jul;40(1):179-82.
 24. Lin PH, Bush RL, Lumsden AB. Aortocaval fistula. *J Vasc Surg*. 2004 Jan;39(1):266.
 25. Lin PH, Kulbaski MJ, Terramani TT, Bush RL, Brinkman WT, Chen C, Conklin B, Lumsden AB. The regained referral ground and clinical practice of vena cava filter placement in vascular surgery. *Am Surg*. 2002 Oct;68(10):865-70.
 26. Huynh TT, Davies MG, Thompson MA, Ezekowitz MD, Hagen P, Annex BH. Local treatment with recombinant tissue factor pathway inhibitor reduces the development of intimal hyperplasia in experimental vein grafts. *Vasc Surg*. 2001 Feb;33(2):400-07.
 27. Surowiec SM, Conklin BS, Li JS, Lin PH, Weiss VJ, Lumsden AB, Chen C. A new perfusion culture system used to study human vein. *J Surg Res*. 2000 Jan;88(1):34-41.
 28. Weiss VJ, Lin P, Lumsden AB. Endoscopic vein harvest techniques for coronary and infrainguinal bypass. *Semin Laparosc Surg*. 1999 Sep;6(3):127-34.
 29. Miller JS, LeMaire SA, Reardon MJ, Coselli JS, Espada R. Intermittent brachiocephalic vein obstruction secondary to a thymic cyst. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2000 Aug;70(2):662-63.